ORIGINAL



1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION RECEIVED 25C Arizona Corporation Commission COMMISSIONERS DOCKETED 2005 MAR 29 P 2: 59 3 JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MAR 2 9 2005 4 AZ CORP COMMISSION MARC SPITZER MIKE GLEASON DOCUMENT CONTROL DOCKETED BY 5 KRISTIN K. MAYES 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. W-01303A-01-0983 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY. INC. FOR A LIMITED WAIVER OF THE 8 REOUIREMENTS OF A.A.C. R14-2-801, ET SEO.. AND CERTAIN RELATED RELIEF. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. WS-01303A-02-0867 10 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A 11 DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND 12 PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR 13 UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS SUN CITY WEST WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRICTS. 14 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. WS-01303A-02-0868 15 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY. INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A 16 DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND 17 PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR 18 UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS SUN CITY WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRICTS. 19 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. W-01303A-02-0869 20 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A 21 DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND 22 PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR 23 UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT AND ITS HAVASU WATER 24 DISTRICT. PROCEDURAL ORDER 25 26 27 28

1 2

3

4

6

7

DISTRICT.

DISTRICT.

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27 28

23 June 30, 2004.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS ANTHEM WATER DISTRICT, ITS AGUA FRIA WATER DISTRICT. AND ITS ANTHEM/AGUA FRIA WASTEWATER

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY. INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR

RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS TUBAC WATER

VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS DOCKET NO. WS-01303A-02-0870

DOCKET NO. W-01303A-02-0908

PROCEDURAL ORDER BY THE COMMISSION:

On December 17, 2001, Arizona-American Water Company, Inc. an Arizona corporation ("Arizona-American" or "Company") filed an application with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") requesting a Commission declaration that the Commission's Public Utility Holding Companies and Affiliated Interests Rules, A.A.C. R14-2-801, et seq. ("Affiliated Interests Rules") were not applicable to the transaction described in the application, or alternatively, requesting a limited waiver of the requirements of the Affiliated Interests Rules with respect to the described transaction. The Commission issued Decision No. 65453 in that docket on December 12, 2002.

On November 22, and December 13, 2002, Arizona-American filed with the Commission applications for rate increases in all of its water and wastewater districts with the exception of its Paradise Valley Water District. The Commission issued Decision No. 67093 in those dockets on

On December 15, 2004, Arizona-American filed a request to: 1) reopen the record in Decision No. 67093 for the limited purpose of serving as the evidentiary basis for future Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism ("ACRM") filings for the concerned Arizona-American water and wastewater districts, and 2) waive Condition No. 15 in Decision No. 65453 for Arizona-American's Paradise

Valley Water District.

On February 15, 2005, the Commission issued Decision No. 67593 in the above-captioned dockets, granting Arizona-American's requests, expressly conditioned on dismissal of Arizona-American's pending appeals of Decision No. 67093 and Decision No. 65453 within 30 days of the Decision.

Decision No. 67593 stated that once an appropriate record is developed regarding the arsenic issues raised by Arizona-American in its December 15, 2004 filing, the Commission will consider whether to modify Decision No. 67093 pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-252.

By Procedural Order issued February 22, 2005, a Procedural Conference was scheduled for March 10, 2005, for the purpose of discussing the schedule applicable to this proceeding.

The Procedural Conference was held as scheduled on March 10, 2005. Arizona-American, RUCO, and Staff appeared through counsel and discussed several procedural issues. Arizona-American and Staff stated that stipulations for dismissal of the pending appeals of Decision No. 67093 and Decision No. 65453 had been signed and that the courts had either acted or were in the process of acting on the stipulated dismissals.

At the Procedural Conference, Arizona-American proposed filing direct testimony on an ACRM by April 15, 2005, and proposed a schedule for Staff and intervenors to file direct testimony in response, for the Company to file rebuttal testimony, and for a hearing date. RUCO was not opposed to Arizona-American's proposed schedule. Staff stated, however, that it could not commit to a procedural schedule without having seen the substance of Arizona-American's filing. Staff and RUCO both stated that they can be prepared to provide an estimate of the time required for their responsive testimony within 2 weeks of receiving the Company's filing. We will therefore set a date for a procedural conference to be held following the Company's April 15, 2005 filing.

The parties also discussed the issue of whether these proceedings should continue in these consolidated dockets or whether it would be advisable to have a new docket opened for the ACRM issues. The Company and RUCO commented that having a single docket on a going-forward basis would be more economical for the parties than making filings in all the consolidated dockets. Staff stated that because the rate case must be used as the ratemaking vehicle for a cost recovery

1 mo
2 an
3 01
4 all
5 dis
6 co

mechanism, it is appropriate to keep all the affected dockets from the rate case open. The Company and Staff agreed that filings for this proceeding no longer need to be made in Docket No. W-01303A-01-0983. The Company added that because it would not be requesting an ACRM that would affect all the districts involved in the rate case, that filings need not include the captions for all those districts. We will require the Company to make its April 15, 2005 filing as a new application, consolidated only with those docket numbers from Decision No. 67093 that would be affected by the requested relief.

Another issue raised was whether all intervenors in the rate case should remain on the service list for the ACRM proceedings. Arizona-American stated that some rate case intervenors live in districts that would not be affected by an arsenic surcharge. Staff recommended that the rate case intervenors be given an opportunity to file a statement in the record that they wish to continue in this case. Neither RUCO nor the Company are opposed to Staff's recommendation. While some of the intervenors may be customers in Arizona-American districts that may not be impacted by these ACRM proceedings, their intervention was granted in the consolidated proceedings, not in individual dockets. The intervenors should therefore determine for themselves on an individual basis whether they wish to remain active in these proceedings. We will adopt Staff's recommendation, and require any rate case intervenors who wish to remain on the service list for these proceedings to make an affirmative filing in these dockets indicating their intent to continue their participation.

The issue of public notice of the upcoming ACRM proceeding was also discussed. Staff recommended that the same type of public notice given in the rate case should be given for this proceeding. Arizona-American requested that for individual customer notification, the Company be allowed to include notice of the proceedings in the text of its bills as opposed to using a bill insert. Staff was not opposed to a bill text notice in lieu of a bill insert. Arizona-American agreed to include a proposed form of notice with its April 15, 2005 filing.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Arizona-American Water Company, Inc. shall file, no later than April 15, 2005, a new application indicating the specific relief it requests concerning an Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism. The filing shall be accompanied by direct testimony in support of the application. The new application shall also include a proposed form of public notice of the

hearing on the requested relief.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Arizona-American Water Company, Inc.'s new application shall be consolidated with those docket numbers from Decision No. 67093 that would be affected by the relief Arizona-American Water Company, Inc. requests in its filing, and that the new application shall be filed as consolidated with those existing dockets.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a Procedural Conference shall be held on the new application and the consolidated matters on May 2, 2005 at 1:00 p.m., at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007 for the purpose of discussing the procedural schedule applicable to this proceeding and Arizona-American Water Company, Inc.'s proposed form of public notice of the hearing on the requested relief.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that intervenors Carlton G. Young, Frank J. Grimmelmann, Sun City Taxpayers' Association, Town of Youngtown, AUIA, Fiesta RV Resort Limited Partnership, and Sun Health Corporation shall file in these dockets, no later than April 12, 2005, notice of their intent to continue their participation in these consolidated dockets. Failure of those entities to make an affirmative filing by that date indicating their intent to continue participating in these dockets will result in their deletion from the service list for this Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism proceeding.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

Dated this _____ day of March, 2005

TEENAWOLFE

ADMÍNISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

1	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this day of March, 2005 to:	
2	Norman D. James	Walter W. Meek
3	Jay L. Shapiro	AUIA
4	FENNEMORE CRAIG 3003 N. Central Avenue, Ste. 2600	2100 N. Central Avenue, Suite 210
5	Phoenix, AZ 85012	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
3	Attorneys for Arizona-American Water Co.	John A. Buric
6	Craig A. Marks	WARNER ANGLE HALLAM JACKSON & FORMA
7	19820 N. 7 th Street, Suite 201	NEK,
8	Phoenix, AZ 85024 Attorney for Arizona-American Water Co.	PLC
		3550 North Central Avenue, Ste. 1500 Phoenix, AZ 85012
9	Mr. David P. Stephenson Director of Rates and Revenues	Attorneys for Fiesta RV Resort Limited
10	AMERICAN WATER WORKS SERVICE CO., INC.	Partnership
11	303 H. Street, Suite 250	Kenneth C. Sundlof
12	Chula Vista, CA 91910	Robert R. Taylor
	Daniel Pozefsky	JENNINGS, STRAUSS & SALMON The Collier Center, 11 th Floor
13	RUCO	201 East Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85004
14	1110 W. Washington, Ste. 220 Phoenix, AZ 85007	Attorneys for Sun Health Corporation
15	Carlton G. Young	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
16	3203 W. Steinbeck Drive	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
17	Anthem, Arizona 85068-1540	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
18	Frank J. Grimmelmann	Ernest Johnson, Director
	42441 N. Cross Timbers Court Anthem, Arizona 85086	Utilities Division
19	Allaloni, Arizona 65000	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
20	Raymond E. Dare	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
21	SUN CITY TAXPAYERS' ASSOCIATION 12611 N. 103 rd Avenue, Suite D	ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
22	Sun City, Arizona 85351-3467	2627 N. Third Street, Suite Three Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1104
23	William P. Sullivan	
	Larry K. Udall	
24	CURTIS, GOODWIN, SULLIVAN, UDALL & SCHWAB, PLC	By: Milmon
25	2712 N. 7 th Street	Molly Johnson
26	Phoenix, Arizona 85006 Attorneys for the Town of Youngtown	Secretary to Teena Wolfe